# SELF-ESTEEM, TRAIT ANXIETY AND PARENTAL EDUCATIONAL LEVEL OF CHILDREN PRACTICING NON-COMPETITIVE GYMNASTICS SPORTS

# Olivia Donti<sup>1</sup>, Kalliopi Theodorakou<sup>1</sup>, Spiros Kambiotis<sup>1</sup> and Anstasia Donti<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Athens Kapodistrian University, Greece <sup>2</sup> Hellenic Gymnastics Federation, Athens, Greece

Original research article

#### Abstract

The aim of this study was to examine the relation between self-esteem, trait anxiety and parental educational level of 117 children (M age= 10.61 years, SD=0.7), practicing non-competitive gymnastics sports. To measure self-esteem and trait anxiety, the Greek versions of Harter's Self-Perception Profile for Children (1985a) and of the State-Trait Anxiety for Children (STAIC; Spielberger, Edwards, Lushene, Montuori, & Platzek, 1973) were used respectively. ANOVA procedure and independent samples t-test were performed in order to examine differences in self-esteem and trait anxiety between the different educational levels of the parents. Results indicated that father's educational level was related only to one subscale of self-esteem (social acceptance) and had no relation with trait anxiety while mother's educational level was related to most of the subscales of self-esteem (school competence, athletic competence, social acceptance and physical appearance) and to trait anxiety. When both parents' educational level was examined simultaneously, results indicated that children with parents of higher educational level scored higher in most of the subscales of self-esteem (school competence, athletic competence, social acceptance and physical appearance) but not in behavioral conduct and global self-esteem, and there was no difference in trait anxiety. Further research is required on the impact of structural features of the family on young athletes' psychological parameters.

Keywords: self-esteem, trait anxiety, gymnasts, parents, education

#### INTRODUCTION

Most experts in child development and education believe that children's emotional, cognitive and behavioral development is profoundly affected by the way, in which their parents have raised them (Gekas & Schwable, 1986; Harter, 1985b; Rosenberg, 1986). Findings show that young children and adolescents whose parents convey affection, acceptance and support are likely to report higher self-esteem, lower anxiety and depression, greater happiness and scholastic achievement and fewer behavioral problems (Antunes & Fontaine, 1998; Ball, 1992; Gekas & Schwabe, 1986).

Self-esteem is the evaluative element of self-concept (Brown, 1993; Makri-Botsari, 2001b) and can be defined as the degree to which individuals feel positive (Sonstroem, about themselves 1989). According to experts, (Coopersmith, 1981; Rosenberg, 1986) self-esteem reflects the extent to which people believe themselves to be capable, significant, successful, and worthy. Self-esteem is not definitive and may vary from a situation to another, according to problems to be solved or choices to be made (Tap, Tarquinio, & Sordes-Ader, 2002).

To define anxiety, Spielberger (1966), on the basis of previous research, formulated the anxiety theory that suggests possible relationships between state and trait variables. Trait anxiety is a personality disposition that predisposes some young athletes to more often perceive an imbalance between environmental demands and their response capabilities, which in turn causes them to respond with increased state anxiety (Scanlan & Lewthwaite, 1986).

High self-esteem is one of the most important developments in childhood (Barrett & Campos, 1987; Coopersmith, 1981; Makri-Botsari, 2001b) and it is categorized within the emotional/social domain of development (Ball, 1992). Low self-esteem and/or high trait anxiety are associated to risk behaviours, such as substance abuse, suicidal attempts, dieting and other extreme weight control methods (Laure, Binsinger, Ambard, Girault, & Friser, 2005; McGee & Williams, 2000).

The multidimensionality of selfesteem has been well documented (Harter, 1993). Marsh, (1989) demonstrated the organization of the components of selfesteem in a hierarchical structure with global self-esteem at the apex. Achievement related areas like school (cognitive competence), sport (physical competence), and peer relationships (social competence) are supposed to represent important achievement domains. According to Harter (1993), these areas might be seen as independent. For example, children may think they are poor students, good baseball players, homely children, or trustworthy friends.

Empirical research has focused so far on the social framework of self-esteem and in particular on structural features of the family, such as socioeconomic status, parental occupation and education (Johnson, McGue, & Iacono, 2006; Roberts. Socioeconomic status Bengston, 1993). (SES: Pervin, 1993) traditionally is composed of three parts. income. occupation and education. It must be noted that these are not independent concepts; more education typically leads to increased

income and to a more prestigious occupation. In short, it reflects an aspect of culture that is commonly considered as environmental variable but there is substantial evidence that the individual personal characteristics contributing to SES are under genetic as well as environmental influence (Bouchard & McGue, 2003).

A frequent finding reported in the literature is that children's self-esteem and anxiety are related to parents' socioeconomic status (SES; Johnson, et al., 2006; Philips & Zigler, 1980; Roberts & Bengston, 1993; Twenge & Campbell, 2002). In addition, parents' level of education and occupational status are associated with children's future educational and occupational aspirations (Jodl, Michael, Malanchuk, Eccles, & Sameroff, 2001).

It has been reported that during children's school age, feedback and support significant from others (parents, teachers/coaches, and peers) are critical for the development of self-esteem and for coping with mental stress (Cohn, Patterson & Christopoulos, 1991; Gekas, 1972; Harter, 1985b; Kashani, Canfield, Borduin, Soltys & Reid, 1994). In the case of young athletes, parents are typically the individuals who play a key role in children's involvement with sport and future athletic development (Ommundsen & Vaglum, 1991; Scanlan & Lewthwaite, 1986). In most studies of young athletes, it is assumed that parental involvement is the main means of support. Moreover, the stronger is the parental support the child receives, the more positive is the evaluation of the self and lower the level of anxiety or depression that the child feels (Bowlby, 1988; Gotlib & Hammen, 1992). In addition, the possibility of asking from parents for support is very important for adolescents, because they generally have more limited coping resources than adults (Printz, Shermis & Webb, 1999; Van Yperen, 1995).

Gymnastics sports (artistic gymnastics, rhythmic gymnastics, acrobatic, trampoline and gymnastics for all) are popular in young children. Competitive sports are defined as those in which children compete against others formally for awards. Non-competitive (recreational) sports are operationally defined as those in which children practice regularly but do not compete against others, and do not participate in competitions against other teams for places and awards.

Recently, Amac, Anastasio, Morwick, and Yi (2002), reported that, conversely to what was hypothesised, the self-esteem of young girls (aged 10-13 years) practicing competitive gymnastics was significantly lower than the self-esteem of girls practicing recreational gymnastics. They mentioned several characteristics of the competitive environment that might lead to this result including the amount of pressure created by competition, the highest expectations from coaches and parents, and the urge to find a balance between school life and sport life (Amac et al., 2002). In another study, Kerr and Goss (1997) found that elite female gymnasts aged 11-17 years, reported lower self-esteem scores than the published ageand gender-appropriate norms for children of this age, while the trait anxiety scores did not differ significantly from the norms. For this reason, in order to examine the relation of parental educational level to self-esteem and trait anxiety of children, without the bias of high-level competitive gymnastics, children non-competitive onlv from gymnastics sports participated in this study.

This study aimed to examine the relationship between parental educational level, and self-esteem and trait anxiety of non-competitive gymnasts practicing gymnastics sports. On the basis of existing evidence of a positive relationship between parental socioeconomic status and children's self-esteem, (Johnson, et al., 2006; Roberts & Bengston, 1993; Twenge & Campbell, 2002) a positive relationship between parental educational level and children's self-esteem, was hypothesized.

Previous research reflected a negative relationship between family socioeconomic status and children's trait anxiety (Bradley & Corwyn, 2002; Cohen & Wills, 1985; Gallo & Matthews, 2003); consequently, a negative relation between parental educational level and children's trait anxiety expected. The relations between was parental educational level and the subscales of self-esteem within the present research will enable further understanding of whether the level of education of the parents is also affecting the way that parents can support their children, deal with their problems, and provide information when it is needed. Different relationships between the educational level of the mother and the educational level of the father to children's self-esteem and trait anxiety were predicted, in line with the existing literature about the different role of the parents in children's cognitive emotional, and behavioral development (Bell, 1970; Georgas, 1998).

## METHODS

## Participants

One hundred seventeen children (101 girls and 16 boys) aged 10-12 years old (M=10.61, SD=0.71), practising noncompetitive gymnastics sports, participated in this study. Gymnasts were representing four gymnastics sports (artistic gymnastics: 55 children, rhythmic gymnastics: 15 girls, trampoline: 17 children, and gymnastics for all: 30 children). This study was nationwide; subjects were drawn from 15 different clubs affiliated with the Hellenic Gymnastic Federation, set up at several locations throughout Athens and Thessaloniki

The gymnasts were practising for 2-5 years  $(3.84\pm0.70 \text{ years})$ , and training 2-3 times a week, for "45-60 minutes" every time. They were practising in a training stream, which excluded taking part in any competition and moving up to the competitive program was not possible as well.

## Instruments

The Greek version (Theodorakou, 1997) of Harter's Self-Perception Profile for Children (1985a) was used to measure the self-esteem of each participant. Self-Perception Profile for Children (Harter, 1985a) is widely used for assessing selfesteem in youths and was created for children aged 8-14 years old. The scale measures the children's perception of themselves across various domains of their life. It consists of six separate subscales reflecting five specific domains (*scholastic competence, social acceptance, athletic competence, physical appearance, behavioural conduct*) as well as *global selfesteem*. Each of the six subscales contains six items, resulting to a total of 36 items.

The Greek version of the instrument consists of 38 items. Two items from the subscale of physical appearance ("Some kids are happy with their height and weight" and "Some kids wish something about their face or hair looked different") were divided in two items each, resulting to 4 independent items (regarding height and weight, face and hair respectively). This division was done as, during the pilot studies these items showed inadequate validity because there were many children that answered that they were happy with their height but not with their weight or happy with their hair but not face. Participants answered on a four-point scale, where a score of 1 indicates low perceived competence and a score of 4 reflects high perceived competence. Cronbach's  $\alpha$  values for Greek population, ranged from 0.67 to 0.74 (Makri-Botsari, 2001a; Theodorakou, 1997). On the first page of the inventory, children completed the data about their parents' level of education and occupation, separately for their father and their mother. According to their educational level, parents were divided in two categories: 1) Lower level of education (parents that had elementary and/or secondary education) and 2) Higher level of education (parents that had postsecondary education, - University, College and/or higher degree of studies).

To measure anxiety, the Greek version (Psychountaki, Zervas, Karteroliotis, & Spielberger, 2003) of the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory for Children (STAIC; Spielberger, Edwards, Lushene, Montuori & Platzek, 1973) was used. This scale is frequently used to measure anxiety in children 9 to 12 years old. It is a "how-Ifeel" questionnaire that consists of two forms (State and Trait anxiety) of 20 items each, that ask the children how they feel generally, when they respond to the T-Anxiety (Trait anxiety) scale and how they feel at a particular moment when they respond to the S-Anxiety (State anxiety) scale (Spielberger, 1983). For the purpose of this study, only the T-Anxiety scale was used. The STAIC T-Anxiety scores are 3, 2 or 1 for all items. Participants were asked to respond to each item by indicating the frequency of occurrence of the behaviour described by it. The scoring weights are assigned to very often, sometimes, and hardly ever. Cronbach's  $\alpha$  value for Greek athletic population was found to be 0.80 in previous research (Psychountaki et al., 2003).

## Procedure

For the young gymnasts who participated in this study written parental consent was provided. With the permission of the coaches and club administrators. investigators visited a training session and distributed questionnaires which were completed before the training. Instructions to the participants included a reminder to respond to all items and a statement that there were no correct or incorrect answers. Cover letters were also given to the parents and coaches in which were mentioned the importance of participation, purpose of this study, confidentiality and anonymity.

## RESULTS

Measures of skewness and kurtosis found the data to be normally distributed therefore, the use of parametric statistics deemed appropriate. Internal was consistency of the trait anxiety and selfesteem scales was checked with Cronbach's  $\alpha$  values. Results from reliability analysis provided adequate evidence for the internal consistency of the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory for Children (STAIC; Spielberger et al., 1973); Cronbach' s  $\alpha$  value for trait anxiety was 0.83. For the subscales of Harter's Self-Perception Profile for Children (SPPC; Harter, 1985a) Cronbach' s  $\alpha$  values ranged from 0.69 to 0.73 and were considered acceptable except for the subscale of *behavioral conduct* which demonstrated poor internal consistency (0.52). In particular, Cronbach's  $\alpha$  values for the rest of the subscales of self-esteem were as follows: *scholastic competence*, 0.72, *social acceptance*, 0.71, *athletic competence*, 0.70, *physical appearance*, 0.71, and *global self-esteem*, 0.69), thus being in agreement with previous research in Greek population of this age (Makri-Botsari, 2001a).

Mean differences of children's trait anxiety and the subscales of self-esteem between the two groups of educational level of the parents were initially examined separately for the father and the mother. Comparisons between the two groups were performed with the independent samples ttest. Means and standard deviations of trait anxiety and self-esteem subscales between the two different educational levels of the fathers are presented in Table 1. As can be seen, children whose fathers had higher educational level, scored higher in one subscale of self-esteem (*social acceptance*), and there was no difference in trait anxiety.

Table 1. Mean values of self-esteem subscales and trait anxiety between the different educational levels of the fathers.

	Fathers with lowerFathers with higherlevel of educationlevel of education		р	
	(N=54)	(N=63)		
	M/SD	M/SD		
Scholastic competence	3.03±0.73	3.26±0.66	0.06	
Social acceptance	2.75±0.66	3.19±0.55	0.00*	
Athletic competence	2.77±0.62	2.97±0.60	0.08	
Physical appearance	2.88±0.73	$3.06 \pm 0.74$	0.19	
Behavioral conduct	3.10±0.71	3.08±0.73	0.83	
Global self-worth	3.12±0.58	3.20±0.57	0.43	
Trait anxiety	31.24±6.00	30.00±5.80	0.26	

\* p<0.05

Mean values and standard deviations of trait anxiety and self-esteem subscales between the two different educational levels of the mothers are presented in Table 2. Children with mothers of higher educational level scored higher in most of the subscales of self-esteem and lower in trait anxiety than children with mothers of lower educational level. In order to examine the relation of both parents' educational level to children's anxiety and self-esteem, children were divided in 4 groups including a first group with children whose both parents had lower level of education (42 children), a second group with children whose father had higher level of education and mother lower level (22 children), a third group with

children whose father had lower level of education and mother had higher level (10 children) and a fourth group with children whose both parents had higher level of education (42 children). The small number of couples with different educational level shows that people with similar SES tend to cluster together (Jeynes, 2002).

Dependent variables (trait anxiety and self-esteem) were examined with ANOVA procedure, having as independent factor the level of education of the parents. When ANOVA procedure, revealed significant differences between the four groups of children, followed post-hoc comparisons, with corrections for multiple comparisons, using Dunnett multiple comparisons test

(Howell, 1987). In this method, all groups were compared with the first group (lower educational level for both parents) which was considered as control group. The aim of this analysis was to examine the possible changes in the groups when one parent or both parents had higher level of education. Mean differences of trait anxiety and the subscales of self-esteem between the four children groups that were created from the examination of both parents' educational level, are presented In Table 3. Post-hoc comparisons. with Dunnett multiple comparisons test, indicated that children from the second group - father with higher level of education and mother with lower level - scored higher than the children of the first group - both parents with lower level of education - only in one subscale of selfesteem, the social acceptance (p<0.01). Children from the third group - mother with higher educational level and father with lower educational level - had higher values than children of the first group in the subscales of self-esteem. school athletic competence competence, and physical appearance. The fourth group of children - both parents with higher educational level - scored higher than the first group in the subscales of self-esteem, school competence, athletic competence, physical appearance and social acceptance.

## DICSUSION

The aim of this research was to examine the relation between self-esteem, trait anxiety and parental educational level of children (10-12 years old) practicing noncompetitive gymnastics sports. Results indicated that father's educational level was related only to one subscale of self-esteem (*social acceptance*) and had no relation with trait anxiety, while mother's educational level was related to most of the subscales of self-esteem (*school competence, athletic competence, social acceptance* and *physical appearance*) and to trait anxiety. When both parents' educational level was examined at the same time, it was shown that children with parents of higher educational level scored higher in most of the subscales of self-esteem (*school competence, athletic competence, social acceptance* and *physical appearance*); however there was no difference in trait anxiety.

The educational level of the father, examined separately from the educational level of the mother, was related to only one subscale of children's self-esteem, the social acceptance. Harter (1985a) clarifies that the subscale of social acceptance, mainly expresses the degree to which the child feels popular or accepted from his peers. It seems that the educational level of the father, which is affecting the socioeconomic level of the family, is consequently influencing the way that the child feels accepted by his peers and friends. Many researchers report that the two parents differ not only to the degree of support that they offer to their children, but also in the relation of this support to different aspects of the self (Georgas, 1998; Makri-Botsari, 2000).

On the other hand, the educational level of the mother was related to most of the subscales of self-esteem (scholastic competence, athletic competence, social acceptance, physical appearance) and to trait anxiety. Mother is the main "reference" person in the life of the child (Bell, 1970). According to Bowlby (1988), mother is most of the times the person from which the child asks for affection and support. consequently the link between the mother and the child is more critical for the child's social and emotional development than the link with the father. In addition, because of the father's longer absence from home, mother is emotionally closer to her children and is dealing more systematically with their problems (Georgas, 1998). Later, when children get older, their relation with their father becomes more important (Cohn, Patterson & Christopoulos, 1991).

	Mothers with lower	Mothers with higher	р
	level of education	level of education	
	(N=66)	(N=51)	
	M/SD	M/SD	
Scholastic competence	2.99±0.69	3.37±0.66	0.00*
Social acceptance	2.85±0.64	3.15±0.61	0.01*
Athletic competence	$2.69 \pm 0.57$	3.12±0.59	0.00*
Physical appearance	$2.82{\pm}0.71$	3.17±0.73	0.01*
Behavioral conduct	3.00±0.70	3.20±0.72	0.14
Global self-worth	3.12±0.57	3.23±0.57	0.29
Trait anxiety	31.62±5.80	29.43±6.00	0.05*

Table 2. *Mean values of self-esteem subscales and trait anxiety between the different educational levels of the mothers.* 

Table 3. Mean values and standard deviations of trait anxiety and self-esteem subscales for the four children groups.

- -	Father and mother with lower level of education (n=42)	Father with higher and mother with lower level of education (n=22)	Father with lower and mother with higher level of education (n=10)	Father and mother with higher level of education (n=42)	F <sub>3,111</sub>
Scholastic competence	2.92±0.69	3.14±0.70	3.50±0.76*	3.34±0.63*	3.57*
Social acceptance	2.69±0.64	3.21±0.48**	3.09±0.71	3.17±0.59**	5.83**
Athletic competence	2.66±0.60	2.74±0.55	3.24±0.56*	3.09±0.60**	5.37**
Physical appearance	2.76±0.71	2.97±0.72	3.44±0.57*	3.10±0.75	3.08*
Behavioral conduct	3.08±0.69	2.90±0.73	3.33±0.78	3.17±0.72	1.06
Global self-worth	3.09±0.56	3.18±0.56	3.28±0.6	3.22±0.57	0.52
Trait anxiety	32.21±6.00	30.83±5.40	28.24±6.40	29.63±6.00	1.93
h ~ 0.02					

subscales of Interestingly. the behavioral conduct and global self-esteem did not have any significant difference because of the father's or the mother's higher educational level. Probably, the behavior of a child does not depend so much on the education of the parents, but on the family values, behavior, and culture. It is also possible that warmth and unconditioned acceptance - total acceptance of the child with his experiences and behavior without any critical evaluation, (Rogers, 1961) from the parents are more important for a child's global self-esteem than the level of parental education. Many researchers report that the child that feels affection, respect and trust can think of himself as someone who deserves affection, respect, and trust (Gecas, 1972, Hattie, 1992, Rosenberg, 1986).

The results of this study also indicated that children whose mothers had higher educational level, scored lower in trait anxiety. Probably, children with mothers of higher educational level, at least *felt* that their mothers could handle better problems at school or in the gym or problems concerning their relations with peers, their physical appearance etc.

Further, the educational level of both parents simultaneously was examined in order to examine its relation to children's self-esteem and trait anxiety. This study highlights an interesting finding: it was expected that young athletes with both parents of higher educational level would score higher in self-esteem and lower in trait anxiety. This expectation was confirmed for most of the subscales of self-esteem but not for global self-esteem and behavioral conduct. Harter (1985a) clarifies that global self-esteem constitutes a global judgment of one's worth as a person, rather than domainspecific competence or adequacy. The results of this study indicated that parental educational level is important to the process of children's cognitive and emotional development in many domains, - like scholastic competence, athletic competence, social acceptance, and physical appearance - but it did not affect the extent to which the

children liked themselves as persons, and were generally happy with the way they are.

Unexpectedly, no difference was found in young gymnasts' trait anxiety between the different educational levels of the parents. One possible explanation of this could be that parents' result high educational level affects the educational expectations they have from their children (DeRidder, 1990; Kaplan, Liu & Kaplan, 2001; Penick & Jepsen, 1992). These expectations tend to be based on parents' financial situation, their own experiences with educational attainment and their own aspirations regarding education (Kaplan, et al., 2001; Penick & Jepsen, 1992). Namely, the environment that both these parents create will affect the children, as they tend to expect from their children higher marks at school or to choose a certain career, thus influencing the level of trait anxiety of the children. The participants of this study were not high-level athletes. They practiced regularly and they kept improving their skills in gymnastics sports but there were no specific goals other than to do better for their own self-confidence and to have fun with friends, peers, etc. However, school performance was a demand that they were also asked to fulfil.

It should be recognized that this study has its limitations. First, data were collected by using inventories and though this is a common method, investigators do not have the possibility to check the answers (Bisinger, Laure & Ambard, 2006). On the other hand, this technique does not seem to disrupt excessively the validity of results (Pate, 1993). Another limitation comes from the fact that participants of this study were mostly girls. This was due to the fact that in Greece there are few boys participating in non-competitive gymnastics sports. Probably, if data were collected from another sport, like football, and if there were more boys participating in this study, results would be different, especially in what concerns the relationship with the father. Nevertheless, these results are in agreement with previous studies in Greece (Makri-Botsari, 2000) where it is reported that the

34

subscales of self-esteem in children correlate higher with the support from the mother than from the father. A final point about the present study is that with the current study design a link of causality between parental educational level and gymnasts' trait anxiety and self-esteem cannot be established.

Despite its limitations, the strength of this study is the examination of the relation of only one of the components of S.E.S parental educational level - to young gymnasts' psychological parameters and as such can be considered as a new contribution in the area of youth sports. Further longitudinal research, adequately controlled, should examine the relation of structural features of the family to young anxiety and self-esteem gymnasts' separately for boys and girls. Some of the results that were found in the present study appear to warrant further investigation as it seems possible that all the components of the S.E.S of a family (income, occupation, and education) can have different relation to children's psychological characteristics. With the noted differences in self-esteem and trait anxiety of gymnasts with parents of different educational levels, it seems plausible that the results of this study reflect that parental educational level has an important relation to gymnasts' self-esteem but not to trait anxiety.

#### REFERENCES

Amac, Z., Anastasio, N., Morwick, A. & Jialin Yi, J. (2002). Girls' Self-Esteem Comparison in Competitive and Recreational Gymnastics. Indiana University at Bloomington. Report, Y 520.

Antunes, C. & Fontaine, A. (1998). Relations between self-concept and social support appraisals. Proceedings of 6<sup>th</sup> Workshop on Achievement and Task Motivation, Thessaloniki, Greece.

Ball, C. M. (1992). *Self-esteem*, (Translation: A. Dourga). Athens: Diodos.

Bell, S. M. (1970). The development of the concept of object as related to infant-

mother attachment. *Child Development*, 41, 291-311.

Barrett, K. & Campos, J. (1987). Pespectives on emotional development: II. A functionalist approach to emotions. In J. Osofsky (Ed.), *Handbook of infant development* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed., pp.555-578). New York: Wiley.

Bisinger, C., Laure, P. & Ambard, M. (2006). Regular extra-curricular sports practice does not prevent moderate or severe variations in self-esteem or trait anxiety in early adolescents. *Journal of Sports Sciences and Medicine*, 5, 123-129.

Bouchard, T. J. & McGue, M. (2003). Genetic and environmental influences on human psychological differences. *Journal of Neurobiology*, 54, 4-45.

Bowlby, J. (1988). A secure base: Parent-child attachment and healthy human development. New-York: Basic Books.

Bradley, R. H. & Corwyn R., F. (2002). Socioeconomic status and child development. *Annual review of psychology*, 53, 371-399.

Brown, J. D. (1993). Self-esteem and self-evaluation: Feeling in believing. In J. Suls (Ed.), *Psychological perspectives on the self*, (Vol. 4, 27-58).

Coopersmith, S. (1981). The antecedents of self-esteem. Palo Alto, CA:Consulting Psychologists Press.

Cohen, S. & Wills, T. A. (1985). Stress, social support and the buffering hypothesis. *Psychological bulletin*, 98, 310-357.

Cohn, D. A., Patterson, C. J. & Christopoulos, C. (1991). The family and children's peer relations. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 8, 315-346.

DeRidder, L. (1990). The impact of parents and parenting on career development. Knoxville, TN: Comprehensive Career Development Project, pp. 325 769.

Gallo, L. C. & Matthews K. A. (2003). Understanding the association between socioeconomic status and physical health: do negative emotions play a role? *Psychological Bulletin*, 129(1), 10-51. Gekas, V. (1972). Parental behavior and contextual variations in adolescent selfesteem. *Sociometry*, 35, 332-345.

Gekas, V. & Schwabe, M. L. (1986). Parental behavior and adolescent selfesteem. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 48, 37-46.

Georgas, D. (1998).  $\Psi v \chi o \lambda o \gamma i \kappa \epsilon \zeta \kappa a i$   $o i \kappa o \lambda o \gamma i \kappa \epsilon \zeta \delta i a \sigma \tau a \sigma \epsilon i \sigma \tau \eta \delta o \mu \eta \kappa a i \sigma \tau \eta$   $\lambda \epsilon i \tau o v \rho \gamma i a \tau \eta \zeta o i \kappa o \gamma \epsilon v \epsilon i a \sigma \tau \eta \delta o \mu \eta \kappa a i \sigma \tau \eta$ [Psychological and ecological dimensions in the structure and the function of the family]. In G. Tsiantis, Basic child-psychiatry, (Vol. 2, pp. 101-143.) Athens: Kastaniotis.

Gotlib, I.H. & Hammen, C.L. (1992). Psychological aspects of depression: *Toward a cognitive-interpersonal integration*. Chichester, UK :Wiley.

Harter, S. (1985a). *Manual for the Self Perception Profile for Children*. Denver, CO :University of Denver Press.

Harter, S. (1985b). *Manual for the Social Support Scale for Children*. Denver, CO :University of Denver Press.

Harter, S. (1993). Causes and consequences of low self-esteem in children and adolescents. In R. F. Baumeister (Ed.), *Self-esteem: The puzzle of low self-regard.* (pp. 87-116). New York: Plenum.

Hattie, J. (1992). *Self-concept*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. Hill, G. M (1993).

Howell, D.C. (1987). *Statistical Methods for Psychology*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Duxbury Press, Massachusetts.

Jeynes, W.H. (2002). The challenge of controlling for SES in social science and education research. *Educational Psychology Review*, 14, 205-221.

Jodl, K. M., Michael, A., Malanchul, O., Eccles, J. S. & Sameroff, A. (2001). Parent's rolein shaping early adolescents' occupational aspirations. *Child Development*, 72(4), 1247-1265.

Johnson, W., McGue, M. & Iacono, W. G. (2006). Socioeconomic status and school grades: Placing their association in broader context in a sample of biological and adoptive families. *Intelligence*, 35(6), 526-541. Kaplan, D. S., Liu, X. & Kaplan, H. B. (2001). Influence of parents' self-feelings and expectations on children's academic performance. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 94(6), 360-370.

Kashani, J. H., Canfield, L. A., Borduin, C. M., Soltys, M. & Reid, J. C. (1994). Perceived family and social support: Impact on children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 33, 819-823.

Kerr, G. A. & Goss, J. D. (1997). Personal control in elite gymnasts: The relationships between locus of control, selfesteem, and trait anxiety. *Journal of Sport Behaviour*, 20, 69-82.

Laure, P., Binsinger, C., Ambard, M. F., Girault, S. & Friser, A. (2005) Can selfesteem and trait anxiety predict drugs use among early adolescents? *Psychotropes* 1, 73-90. (in French: English abstract).

Μακri-Botsari, Ε. (2000). Έννοια του εαυτού, σχέσεις με τους γονείς και γονική στήριξη κατά την εφηβεία [in Greek]. [Concept of the self, relations with parents and parental support in puberty], Παιδαγωγική επιθεώρηση: 30, 163-191.

Makri-Botsari, E. (2001a). Πως αντιλαμβάνομαι τον εαυτό μου (ΠΑΤΕΜ ΙΙ). Ερωτηματολόγιο για την αξιολόγηση της αυτοαντίληψης και της αυτοεκτίμησης μαθητών Δ', E', και ΣΤ'Δημοτικού. [in Greek]. [How I Perceive Myself (PATEM-II. Inventory for the evaluation of self-concept and self-esteem of pupils of  $4^{TH}$ ,  $5^{TH}$  and  $6^{TH}$ class of elementary school).] Athens: Ellinika Grammata.

Makri-Botsari, E. (2001b). Αυτοαντίληψη και αυτοεκτίμηση. Μοντέλα, ανάπτυξη, λειτουργικός ρόλος και αζιολόγηση. [in Greek]. [Self-concept and self-esteem. Models, development, function and evaluation.]. Athens: Ellinika Grammata.

Marsh, H. W. (1989). Age and sex effects in multiple dimensions of self-concept: Preadolescence to early childhood. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 81, 417-430.

McGee, R. & Williams, S. (2000). Does low self-esteem predict health compromising behaviours among adolescents? *Journal of Adolescence*, 55, 569-582.

Ommundsen, Y. & Vaglum, R. (1991). Soccer competition anxiety and enjoyment in young boy players. The influence of perceived competence and significant others'emotional involvement. *International Journal of Sport Psychology*, 22, 35-49.

Pate, R. R. (1993). Physical activity assessment in children and adolescents. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* 33, 321-326.

Penick, N. & Jepsen, D. (1992). Family Functioning and Adolescent Career Development. *Career development quarterly*, 40(4), 208-222.

Pervin, L.A. (1993). *Personality: Theory and Research*. New York:John Wiley and Sons.

Phillips, D. A. & Zigler, E. F. (1980). Children's self-image disparity: Effects of age, socio-economic status, ethnicity, and gender. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 57, 672-680.

Printz, B. L., Shermis, M. D. & Webb, P. M. (1999). Stress-buffering factors related to adolescent coping: A path analysis. *Adolesence*, 34, 715-735.

Psychountaki, M., Zervas, Y., Karteroliotis K. & Spielberger, C. (2003). Reliability and Validity of the Greek Version of the STAIC. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*, 19(2), 124-130.

Roberts, R. E. L. & Bengston, V. L. (1993). Relationships with parents, selfesteem and psychological well-being in young adulthood. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 56(4), 263-275

Rogers, C. (1961). *On becoming a person*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin

Rosenberg, M. (1986). Self concept from middle childhood through adolescence. In R. L. Leahy (Eds.), *Psychological perspectives on the self* (Vol. 3, pp. 107-136). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrencne Erlbaum.

Scanlan, T. K. & Lewthwaite, R. (1986). Social psychological aspects of competition for male youth sport

participants: 4. Predictors of enjoyment. *Journal of Sport Psychology*, 8, 25-35.

Sonstroem, R. J. (1989). Exercise and self-esteem: Rationale and model. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 21, 329-337.

Spielberger, C. D. (1966). Theory and research on anxiety. In C.D. Spielberger (Eds.), *Anxiety and behavior* (pp.3-20). New York: Academic Press.

Spielberger, C.D. (1983) Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory. Consulting Psychologists Press, Palo Alto.

Spielberger, C. D., Edwards, C. D., Lushene, R. E., Montuori, J., & Platzek, D. (1973). *Preliminary manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory for Children*. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.

Tap, P., Tarquinio, C. & Sordes-Ader, F. (2002). Health, disease and identity. In G.N. Fischer (Eds.), *Traité de psychologie de la santé*. (pp 135-161). Paris, Dunod. (in French).

Theodorakou, K. (1997). Η επίδραση της δημιουργικής κίνησης στην αυτοεκτίμηση παιδιών Ε΄και ΣΤ΄ τάξης Δημοτικού Σχολείου. [In Greek]. [The influence of creative movement in the self-esteem of children of 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> classes of elementary school]. (Unpublished Ph.D thesis. Department of Physical Education and Sport, Athens Kapodistrian University).

Twenge J. M. & Campbell, W. K. (2002). Self-esteem and socioeconomic status: A meta-analytic review. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 6, 59-71.

Van Yperen, N. W. (1995). Interpersonal stress, Performance level, and parental support: a longitudinal study among highly skilled young soccer players. *The Sport Psychologist*, 9, 225-241.

Coresponding author: Olyvia Donti 26 Mytilinis str. Maroussi, 151 26 Athens Greece E-mail: odonti@phed.uoa.gr